

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,962	08/26/2003	Martin Lund	14225US02	5246
23446 7590 05/17/2007 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET	EXAMINER			
			NGUYEN, HANH N	
CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			L.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/647,962	LUND ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Hanh Nguyen	2616
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Appli</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 8/26/03 is/are: a) according and applicant may not request that any objection to the Graphacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/2/05</u> .	4) lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: serial number of an application on page 13, paragraph [38] is required to be filled in the space next to attorney docket number 14221US02.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejectedunder 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (US Pat. 6,931,475 B2) in view of Bottom (US Pat. 6,950,895 B2).

In Claims 1, 11, 21, Huang et al. discloses a server platform (see fig.1, a server system 100, abstract) comprising a plurality of blade servers 120 (col.2, lines 57-65; a first blade server and a second blade server); switch 240 (see fig.2; col.3, lines 5-10; at least one switch blade) and a network 290 (see fig.2). The blade server system 100 is designed to select a blade server 200 by pressing a button 210 which sends an information signal to management board 260 (col.3, lines 12-25; receiving from a first blade server a first packet). A network 290 is used to switch from one blade server 200 (the first blade server) to a new selected blade server 200 (

Art Unit: 2616

determing a second blade server) by requesting the management board 260 to switch to the selected blade server 200 (see col.3, lines 45-55; determing a network and a second blade server 200 that is to receive the first packet). Huang et al. does not disclose receiving from the first blade server packet at a first data rate; transferring data responsive to the first packet to the second blade server at a negotiated rate; and the network at a cesond data rate.

Bottom discloses a server system 100 comprising a plurality of server blades 110, a switch blade 120 (a first server blade and a second server blade, a switch blade 120; see col.3, lines 10-25). The server blades 110 has network interface 506, 507 operating at 10/100BaseT (see fig.5&col.5, lines 25-30; the first and the second server blades operate at the first rate and a negotiated rate such as 10BaseT or 100BaseT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Bottom into that of Huang et al. in order to setect a new blade server and a network to transmit data packet to the new blade server at a negotiated data rate and the network at a second data rate. The motivation is to expand the number of blade servers that receive data packet at different negotiated data rates as sugggested by Huang et al. (col.3, lines 55-60).

In claims 2, 3, 12, 13, 22 and 23, Huang et al. discloses transferring at least a second packet comprising at least a portion of said at least said first received packet to said second blade server via a common bus at said negotiated data rate (see col.3, lines 15-25; passing the information signal from the management board 260 switch 240 to a selected blade server via connection; the information signal is decoded to select the blade server 200).

In claims 4, 5, 14, 15, 24 and 25, the combination of Huang et al. in view of Bottom as disclosed above shows that receiving a third packet from the network at a second data rate (see

Art Unit: 2616

col.3, lines 12-25 & lines 45-55; as a new blade server 200 (such as a third blader server) is selected, another information signal is requested by a user in the network 290).

In claims 6, 7, 16, 17, 26 and 27, the combination of Huang et al. and Bottom disclose transferring data responsive to the third packet to the third blade server at a newly negotiated data rate (as a new blade server 200 in Huang et al. is selected (see claim 1), with the 10/100Base-T auto negotiated ports indicated in the blade server of Bottom(see Bottom, fig.5), data responsive to the third packet should be able to be transferred to the third blade server at a new negotiated data rate).

In claims 8, 18, 28, with the combination of Huang et al. with Bottom has disclosed this limitation in claims 1, 6, 7.

In claims 9, 10, 19, 20, 29 and 30, Huang et al. discloses broadcasting a plurality of packets over the network at a second data rate (by way of local network or Internet, a user sends a request to the management board 260 to select a new blade server 200). See col.3, lines 45-50.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rietze et al. (US pat. 6,904,482 B2);

Geofroy et al. (Us pat. 7,124,163 B2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 4:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

Application/Control Number: 10/647,962 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn feild, can be reached on 571 272 2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

HANH NGUYEN